

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,817	0	8/19/2003	Bruce Willian Forsberg		3091	
43224	7590	09/07/2005		EXAMINER		
BRUCE FC		-	CHOI, ST	CHOI, STEPHEN		
HAZEL GREEN, AL 35750				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3724		

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	10/642,817	FORSBERG E	T AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Choi	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			⊥ddress
This application is abandoned in view of:		•	
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificat period for reply (including a total extension of times)	e of Mailing or Transmission date	d), which is after the	e expiration of the
(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rej application in condition for allowance; (2) a timel Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance wit	y filed Notice of Appeal (with appe	y filed amendment which p eal fee); or (3) a timely filed	laces the Request for
(c) ⊠ A reply was received on <u>21 March 2005</u> but it do non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.1			proper reply, to the
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.			
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue for from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PI		e, within the statutory perio	d of three months
 (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable), which is after the expiration of the statut Allowance (PTOL-85). 			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A ba	alance of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if require	ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_	 -
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, h	nas not been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as Allowability (PTO-37).	s required by, and within the three	-month period set in, the N	otice of
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing	g or Transmission dated), which is
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed the applicants.	by the attorney or agent of record	, the assignee of the entire	interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	by an attorney or agent (acting in	a representative capacity u	inder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and In of the decision has expired and there are no allowed		d because the period for se	eking court review
7. The reason(s) below:			
see continuation page			
		STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINI	ER
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to with minimize any negative effects on patent term.	rithdraw the holding of abandonment o	under 37 CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)	tice of Abandonment	Part of Pa	aper No. 09022005

Application/Control Number: 10/642,817

1,00mmor rambor: 10,0 12,0 .

Art Unit: 3724

The reply to the restriction requirement mailed on 11 February 2005 to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). The reply also must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. However, applicant's reply to the Office Action of 11 February 2005 was received in the Patent and Trademark Office on 21 March 2005, which was improper. Since no time remains for applicant to obtain an extension of the period for reply by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), this application is *abandoned*. Applicant is advised that the abandonment of this application may only be overcome by filing a petition to revive under 37 CFR 1.137. A petition to revive may be appropriate if applicant's failure to reply was either unavoidable or unintentional, as set forth below.

Failure to reply was unintentional.

A petition to revive an abandoned application on the grounds that the failure to reply was unintentional (37 CFR 1.137(b)) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply (which has been filed); (2) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional; (3) any terminal disclaimer required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(d) (see above discussion); and (4) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m). No consideration to the substance of a petition will be given until this fee is

Application/Control Number: 10/642,817

Art Unit: 3724

received. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The required items and fees must be submitted promptly under a cover letter entitled "Petition to Revive."

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX:

571-273-8300

Attn: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries with respect to this matter should be directed to the Office of Petitions Staff at (571) 272-3282. For more detailed information, see MPEP § 711.03(c).